

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/723,861	11/26/2003	John M. Sabol	144175XZ/YOD GEMS:0261	9690
Patrick S. Yode	7590 10/09/2007 er	John M. Sabol 144175XZ/YOD 9690 GEMS:0261		
FLETCHER Y	FLETCHER YODER P.O. Box 692289	, ABOLFAZL		
Hester TV 77260 2200			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2624	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/09/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/723,861	SABOL ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Abolfazl Tabatabai	2624		
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re t. riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tatute, cause the application to become AB	CATION. eply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 0	7 06 August 2007			
<u> </u>	This action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments				
closed in accordance with the practice und	•	• •		
Disposition of Claims				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-39</u> is/are pending in the application	tion.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-39</u> is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s)is/are objected to.				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction ar	nd/or election requirement.			
Application Papers		•		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exan	niner			
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>26 November 2003</u>		objected to by the Examiner		
Applicant may not request that any objection to				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor		•		
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the		· · ·		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	eign priority under 35 U:S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).		
1. Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been received.			
2. Certified copies of the priority docum		pplication No		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the	oriority documents have been	received in this National Stage		
application from the International Bu				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies not	received.		
	*			
Attachment(s)	·			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		ummary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date		
2)		formal Pate formal Patent Application		
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) 🔲 Other:	_		

Art Unit: 2624

Response to Amendment/Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments, (pages 9-18), filed on August 6, 2007 with respect to the rejection of claims 1-8, 14, 25, 30 and 35 under Taguchi et al (U. S. 5,807,256) in view of Kao et al (U. S. 5,361,763); claims 9-13, 15-24, 26-29 and 36-39 have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view Taguchi et al (U. S. 5,807,256) in view of Carrott et al (U. S. 6,909,792 B1).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Art Unit: 2624

3. Claims 1-39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Taguchi et al (U. S. 5,807,256) in view of Carrott et al (U. S. 6,909,792 B1).

Regarding claim 1, Taguchi discloses a method for analyzing image data comprising:

analyzing the temporal change image (please note, to column 45, lines 51-65 and column 54, lines 27-37) via at least one CAD algorithm (please note, to column 54, lines 27-37 and column 81, lines 25-41).

However, Taguchi is silent about the specific details regarding the step of:

generating a temporal change image based upon first and second images from different times by segmenting the first and second images and registering at least a portion of the segmented images with one another.

In the same field (medical imaging) endeavor, however, Carrott discloses historical comparison of breast tissue by image processing comprising the step of:

generating a temporal change image based upon first and second images from different times by segmenting the first and second images (please note, to column 4, lines 33-53) and registering (please note, to column 2, lines 9-14) at least a portion of the segmented images with one another (please note, to column 14, lines 24-26). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use generating a temporal change image as taught Carrott in the system of Taguchi because Carrott provides Taguchi an improved method and system which visually documents historical changes in a medical image by processing and comparing historical with later images. A composite image is created which visually

Art Unit: 2624

emphasizes the temporal differences between historical images.

Regarding claim 2, Taguchi discloses the method of claim 1, wherein analyzing the temporal change image via the CAD algorithm includes diagnosing a physical condition of a patient (please note, to column 45, lines 51-65 and column 115, lines 34-40).

Regarding claim 3, Taguchi discloses the method of claim 1, wherein the CAD algorithm identifies at least one feature of interest in the temporal change image (please note, to column 5, lines 17-25 and column 45, lines 62-67).

Regarding claim 4, Taguchi discloses the method of claim 1, comprising performing quantitative analysis on the temporal change image (please note, to column 56, lines 25-30).

Regarding claim 5, Taguchi discloses the method of claim 4, wherein the quantitative analysis includes determining a change in size of a feature of interest between the first and second images based upon the temporal change image (please note, to column 115, lines 18-27).

Regarding claim 6, Taguchi discloses the method of claim 1, comprising presenting a report to a user along with at least one of the first image, the second image and the temporal change image (please note, to column 13, lines 30-35 and column 67, lines 25-31).

Regarding claim 7, Taguchi discloses the method of claim 1, wherein the first and second images are generated by different imaging modalities (please note, to column 2, lines 47-52 and column 106, lines 18-23).

Art Unit: 2624

Regarding claim 8, Taguchi discloses the method of claim 1, comprising analyzing at least the first image via a second CAD algorithm (please note, to column 64, lines 60-65 and column 81, lines 25-41).

Regarding claim 9, Taguchi discloses a method for analyzing image data comprising:

analyzing a first image via at least one CAD algorithm to identify a feature of interest image (please note, to column 54, lines 27-37 and column 81, lines 25-41); and, if a feature of interest is identified in the first image, accessing a second image from a different time than the first image (please note, to column 6, lines 50-62 and column 81, lines 25-41).

However, Taguchi is silent about the specific details regarding the step of:

generating a temporal change image based upon the first and second images.

In the same field (medical imaging) endeavor, however, Carrott discloses historical comparison of breast tissue by image processing comprising the step of:

generating a temporal change image based upon the first and second images (please note, to column 4, lines 33-53).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use generating a temporal change image as taught Carrott in the system of Taguchi because Carrott provides Taguchi an improved method and system which visually documents historical changes in a medical image by processing and comparing historical with later images. A composite image is created which visually emphasizes the temporal differences between historical images.

Art Unit: 2624

Regarding claim 10, Taguchi discloses the method of claim 9, comprising reporting results of the analysis to a user if a feature of interest is not identified in the first image (please note, to column 13, lines 30-35 and column 67, lines 50-58).

Regarding claim 11, Taguchi discloses the method of claim 9, comprising analyzing the temporal change image via a second CAD algorithm (please note, to column 64, lines 60-65).

Regarding claim 12, Taguchi discloses the method of claim 11, wherein the CAD algorithm used for analyzing the first image is different from the CAD algorithm used for analyzing the temporal change image (please note, to column 64, lines 60-65 and column 81, lines 25-41).

Regarding claim 13, Taguchi discloses the method of claim 12, wherein the CAD algorithm used for analyzing the first image has a sensitivity and a specificity to produce a desired level of positive identifications of potential features of interest (please note, to column 83, lines 6-12), and wherein the CAD algorithm used for analyzing the temporal change image is configured to reduce the positive identifications of features of interest (please note, to column 17, lines 10-27).

Claim 14 is similarly analyzed as claim 1 above.

Claim 15 is similarly analyzed as claim 4 above.

Claim 16 is similarly analyzed as claim 5 above.

Claim 17 is similarly analyzed as claim 6 above.

Regarding claim 18, Taguchi discloses a method for analyzing image data comprising:

Art Unit: 2624

analyzing first and second images from two different times via at least one CAD algorithm to identify a feature of interest (please note, to column 54, lines 27-37 and column 81, lines 25-41);

comparing the results of the analyses (please note, to column 7, lines 14-18). However, Taguchi is silent about the specific details regarding the step of:

generating a temporal change image based upon the comparison.

In the same field (medical imaging) endeavor, however, Carrott discloses historical comparison of breast tissue by image processing comprising the step of:

generating a temporal change image based upon the comparison (please note, to column 4, lines 33-53).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use generating a temporal change image as taught Carrott in the system of Taguchi because Carrott provides Taguchi an improved method and system which visually documents historical changes in a medical image by processing and comparing historical with later images. A composite image is created which visually emphasizes the temporal differences between historical images.

Regarding claim 19, Taguchi discloses the method of claim 18, comprising analyzing the temporal change image via CAD algorithm to diagnose a physical condition of a patient (please note, to column 115, lines 34-40).

Claim 20 is similarly analyzed as claim 9 above.

Regarding claim 21, Taguchi discloses the method of claim 18, comprising determining whether a discrepancy exists between the analyses of the fist and second

Art Unit: 2624

images, and wherein the temporal change image is generated only if such a discrepancy is identified (please note, to column 5, lines 51-61 and column 35, lines 7-10).

Claim 22 is similarly analyzed as claim 18 above.

Regarding claim 23, Taguchi discloses the method of claim 22, comprising receiving results of a read of at least one of the first and second images by a human reader, and wherein the reconciler identifies differences between the analyses of the first and second images and the results of the read by the human reader (please note, to column 24, lines 36-39).

Claim 24 is similarly analyzed as claim 9 above.

Claim 25 is similarly analyzed as claim 1 above.

Regarding claim 26, Taguchi discloses the method of claim 24, wherein the CAD algorithm used for analyzing the first image is different from the CAD algorithm used for analyzing the second image (please note, to column 81, lines 50-53).

Regarding claim 27, Taguchi discloses the method of claim 24; comprising comparing results from the analyses of the first and second images during multiple stages of analysis via the CAD algorithm (please note, to column 7, lines 14-18).

Claim 28 is similarly analyzed as claim 9 above.

Regarding claim 29, Taguchi discloses the method of claim 28, wherein analyzing the first and second images includes quantifying a change in a feature of interest between the first image and the second image (please note, to column 56, lines 25-30).

Art Unit: 2624

Claim 30 is similarly analyzed as claim 1 above.

Claim 31 is similarly analyzed as claim 9 above.

Claim 32 is similarly analyzed as claim 18 above.

Claim 33 is similarly analyzed as claim 24 above.

Claim 34 is similarly analyzed as claim 28 above.

Regarding claim 35, Taguchi discloses a computer readable medium embodied with a computer program for analyzing image data comprising:

code stored on the at least one machine-readable medium (please note, to column 101, lines 9-15); for analyzing the temporal change image (please note, to column 45, lines 51-65 and column 54, lines 27-37) via at least one CAD algorithm (please note, to column 54, lines 27-37 and column 81, lines 25-41).

However, Taguchi is silent about the specific details regarding the step of:

generating a temporal change image based upon first and second images from different times by segmenting the first and second images and registering at least a portion of the segmented images with one another.

In the same field (medical imaging) endeavor, however, Carrott discloses historical comparison of breast tissue by image processing comprising the step of:

generating a temporal change image based upon first and second images from different times by segmenting the first and second images (please note, to column 4, lines 33-53) and registering (please note, to column 2, lines 9-14) at least a portion of the segmented images with one another (please note, to column 14, lines 24-26).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use generating a temporal change image as taught Carrott in the system of Taguchi because Carrott provides Taguchi an improved method and system which visually documents historical changes in a medical image by processing and comparing historical with later images. A composite image is created which visually emphasizes the temporal differences between historical images.

Regarding claim 36, Taguchi discloses a computer-readable medium embodied with a computer program for analyzing image data comprising:

code stored on the at least one machine readable medium (please note, to column 101, lines 9-15); for analyzing a first image via at least one CAD algorithm to identify a feature of interest (please note, to column 45, lines 51-65 and column 54, lines 27-37), and if a feature of interest is identified in the first image, accessing a second image from a different time than the first image (please note, to column 6, lines 50-62 and column 81, lines 25-41).

However, Taguchi is silent about the specific details regarding the step of:

generating a temporal change image based upon the first and second images.

In the same field (medical imaging) endeavor, however, Carrott discloses historical comparison of breast tissue by image processing comprising the step of:

generating a temporal change image based upon the first and second images (please note, to column 4, lines 33-53).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use generating a temporal change image as taught Carrott in the

Art Unit: 2624

system of Taguchi because Carrott provides Taguchi an improved method and system which visually documents historical changes in a medical image by processing and comparing historical with later images. A composite image is created which visually emphasizes the temporal differences between historical images.

Regarding claim 37, Taguchi discloses a computer-readable medium embodied with a computer program for analyzing image data comprising:

code stored on the computer-readable medium (please note, to column 101, lines 9-15) for analyzing first and second images from two different times via at least one CAD algorithm to identify a feature of interest (please note, to column 54, lines 27-37 and column 81, lines 25-41), comparing the results of the analyses (please note, to column 7, lines 14-18).

However, Taguchi is silent about the specific details regarding the step of:

In the same field (medical imaging) endeavor, however, Carrott discloses historical comparison of breast tissue by image processing comprising the step of:

generating a temporal change image based upon the comparison.

generating a temporal change image based upon the comparison (please note, to column 4, lines 33-53).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use generating a temporal change image as taught Carrott in the system of Taguchi because Carrott provides Taguchi an improved method and system which visually documents historical changes in a medical image by processing and

Art Unit: 2624

comparing historical with later images. A composite image is created which visually emphasizes the temporal differences between historical images

Claim 38 is similarly analyzed as claim 24 above.

Claim 39 is similarly analyzed as claim 28 above.

Other Prior Art Cited

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Burke et al (U. S. 6,421,454 B1) disclose optical correlator assisted detection of calcifications for breast biopsy.

Roehring et al. (U. S. 6,075,879) disclose method and system for computeraided lesion detection using information from multiple images.

Doi et al (U. S. 6,836,558 B2) disclose method, system and computer readable medium for identifying chest radiographs using image mapping and template matching techniques.

Contact Information

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to ABOLFAZL TABATABAI whose telephone number is (571) 272-7458.

The Examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 9:30 a.m. to 7:30 p.m. If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Bhavesh Mehta, can be reached at (571) 272-7453. The fax phone number for organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Art Unit: 2624

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Abolfazl Tabatabai

Patent Examiner

Technology Division 2624

September 27, 2007

A-Talatala